PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (daylmonthlyear) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/008697 15.06.2004 15.07,2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B60K6/04, B60L11/18 Applicant TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☑ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2 **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer** European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Bronold, H

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2948

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/008697

		IAPZU MECE POLIPIO 03 JAN ZUUN
_	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With r	egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha cc	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppopriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/008697

	Box No. II	Priority			
1. 🛭	☑ The foll	owing document I	nas not be	en furnishe	d:
		copy of the earlie	r applicatio	n whose p	riority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the	earlier app	lication wh	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consec	uently it has not b	een possit	ble to cons	ider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. [nas Det	nion has been est n found invalid (R te indicated above	iuies 43 <i>0i</i> s	. 1 and 64.1	rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim 1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the relevant date.
					·
3. A	Ndditional ol	oservations, it nec	essary:		
3. A	Additional of	oservations, if nec	essary:		
3. A	Additional of	oservations, if nec	essary:		
В	Box No. V	Reasoned state	ement und	ier Rule 43	ibis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
B ìn	Box No. V	Reasoned state	ement und	ier Rule 43 explanatio	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or no supporting such statement
B in	Sox No. V ndustrial a	Reasoned state	ement und ions and e	explanatio	ns supporting such statement
B in	lox No. V ndustrial a	Reasoned state	ement und ions and e	ler Rule 43 explanatio Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement 10
B in 1. S	Box No. V industrial a statement doveity (N)	Reasoned state	ement und ions and e Yes: No:	Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement
B in 1. S	Sox No. V ndustrial a	Reasoned state	Yes: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims	10 1-9,11-19
B in 1. S	Box No. V industrial a statement doveity (N)	Reasoned state	ement und ions and e Yes: No:	Claims Claims	ns supporting such statement 10
B in 1. S N	Box No. V ndustrial a statement lovelty (N)	Reasoned state	Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims	10 1-9,11-19

see separate sheet

10/563137

IAP20 Rec'2.77770 0 3 JAN 2006 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/JP2004/008697

Re Item V.

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 6 549 840 B1 (KAWABATA TAKUJI ET AL) 15 April 2003 (2003-04-15)

D2: US 6 381 522 B1 (SEKOZAWA TERWI ET AL) 30 April 2002 (2002-04-30)

D3: US 2003/037977 A1 (AMANUMA HIROKATSU ET AL) 27 February 2003 (2003-02-27)

D4: EP 0 909 675 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 21 April 1999 (1999-04-21)

D5: SPOONER E ET AL: "'TORUS': A SLOTLESS, TOROIDAL-STATOR, PERMANENT-MAGNET GENERATOR" IEE PROCEEDINGS B. ELECTRICAL POWER APPLICATIONS, INSTITUTION OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS. STEVENAGE, GB, vol. 139, no. 6, 1 November 1992 (1992-11-01), pages 497-506, XP000343941

2. Novelty, Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A power output apparatus that outputs power to a drive shaft, said power output apparatus comprising:

an internal Combustion engine (column 23, line 37, "engine 14", figure 1);

an electric power-mechanical power input-output unit that is linked with an output shaft of said internal combustion engine and with said drive shaft, and maintains or changes a driving state of said internal combustion engine and outputs at least part of power from said internal combustion engine to said drive shaft through inputs and outputs of electric power and mechanical power (column 23, line 39, "planetary gear 18", figure 1);

a motor that is capable of inputting and outputting power from and to said drive shaft (column 23, line 38, "motor/generator 16", figure 1);

an accumulator that is capable of supplying and receiving electric power to and from said electric power-mechanical power input-output unit and said motor (column 26, line 49, "energy storing device 112"); and

-á controller comprising: an input-output restriction setting module that sets an input restriction and an output restriction of said accumulator (column 28, line 61 to column 29, line 4, "upper limits"); a drivable range setting module that sets a drivable range of said electric power-mechanical power input-output unit based on the settings of input and output restrictions (column 43, line 47 to column 44, line 29); a power demand setting module that sets a power demand required for said drive shaft in response to an operator's manipulation (column 26, lines 6 to 31, "accelerator pedal 122"); and a driving control module that controls said internal combustion engine, said electric power-mechanical power input-output unit, and said motor to drive said electric power-mechanical power input-output unit in the setting of drivable range and to output a power corresponding to the setting of power demand to said drive shaft (column 25, line 62 to column 26, line 44, "engine control device 100", "transmission control device 102", hybrid control device 104").

Thus, all features of claim 1 are already known from the disclosure of D1. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT.

2.2 Although claims 2 and 11 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and/or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter.

In more detail, the subject matter of claim 11 differs from that of claim 1 only in the additional feature "automobile" which comprises all the features of claim 1.

However, an automobile with the features according to claim 1 is also known from the disclosure of D1 (column 1, lines 9 and 10).

Thus, the above said with respect to the subject matter of claim 1 applies mutatis mutandis to the subject matter of claim 11.

Therefore, all features of claim 11 are known from the disclosure of D1. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 11 is not new in the sense of Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT.

2.3 The subject matter of claim 18 relates to a purposive use of the apparatus according to claim 1. Thus, the above said with respect to the subject matter of claim 1 applies mutatis mutandis to the subject matter of claim 18.

Therefore, all features of claim 11 are known from the disclosure of D1. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 18 is not new in the sense of Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT.

2.4 Dependent claims 2-10, 12-17, 19 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).